Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/525,264	BOYD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Karen Cheng	1626
Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>		
(1) <u>Karen Cheng</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Nicole Beeler</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>4 June 2007</u>	Time: <u>11:30 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 103		
Claims discussed:	,	
8, 12, 14		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief section of the provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. 	tion. The examiner will provide eparate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
•	•	
i		
Kauen Chens		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/Applicant's Represent	ative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was informed that claim 1 still contained subject matter that would read on prior art. Applicant agreed to cancel out R7 is C1-6 alkyl and the corresponding species from claim 12 to overcome the remaining 103 rejection. Additionally, claim 8 was amended to depend on claim 1 rather than cancelled claim 7. Applicant agreed to cancel Claim 14 as it is a duplicate of Claim 13. Minor typographical errors found in claim 9 and 12 were also amended.